



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/750,382	12/	30/2003	Roman Perez-Soler	8449-320-999	8956
20583	7590	03/24/2005		EXAMINER	
JONES DA 222 EAST 4	_		KISHORE, GO	KISHORE, GOLLAMUDI S	
NEW YORK, NY 10017				ART UNIT ·	PAPER NUMBER
	•	•		1615	

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/750,382	PEREZ-SOLER ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Gollamudi S. Kishore, Ph.D	1615					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE ( - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status	·							
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<b>.</b>						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1 and 37-90 is/are pending in the app	olication.	•					
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	☑ Claim(s) <u>1 and 37-90</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	and the Comment of the Comment						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachmen	t(s)							
	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:								
S Patent and T	rademark Office		1/1/					

Application/Control Number: 10/750,382

Art Unit: 1615

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims included in the prosecution are 1 and 37-90.

## **Double Patenting**

1. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain <u>a</u> patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

- 2. Claim1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 1 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,696,079. This is a double patenting rejection.
- 3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1 and 37-90 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7, 15-34 and

40-43 of U.S. Patent No. 6,696,079. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of the following reasons.

Claims in instant application and the patented claims are drawn to the same liposome

Page 3

Claims in instant application and the patented claims are drawn to the same liposome composition containing the platinum complexes. Instant independent claims 37-71 are generic with respect to the phospholipid and therefore, includes the specific phospholipid, 'dioleoyl phosphatidylglycerol in the independent claims 5, 15-34 and 40-43 of the patent and it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use appropriate phospholipid or phosphatidylglycerol with other fatty acid residues with the expectation of obtaining similar results. Patented claims are generic with regard to the method of preparation of liposomes and the percentage of transformed first compound and therefore anticipate instant product claims 72-82, which recite the method of preparation of the liposomes using chloroform, and instant claims 83-90, which recite specific percentages. Patented claims 1-7 are generic with regard to the method by which the liposomes are prepared and the transformation of the compound by the changing the pH of the composition after encapsulation and therefore anticipate instant claims 37-90.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gollamudi S. Kishore, Ph.D whose telephone number is (571) 272-0598. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 AM- 4 PM, alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K. Page can be reached on (571) 272-0602. The fax phone

Art Unit: 1615

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gollamudi S Kishore, Ph.D Primary Examiner Art Unit 1615

Page 4

GSK